

1 RICHARD C. JOHNSON (SBN 40881)  
2 JULIE A. OSTIL (SBN 215202)  
3 SHAAMINI A. BABU (SBN 230704)  
4 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION  
5 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110  
6 San Francisco, CA 94104  
7 (415) 882-7900  
8 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile  
9 djohnson@sjlawcorp.com  
jostil@sjlawcorp.com  
sbabu@sjlawcorp.com  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PENSION PLAN FOR PENSION TRUST  
FUND FOR OPERATING ENGINEERS, et  
al.

Plaintiffs,  
vs.  
EAGLE PEAK ROCK & PAVING INC., et  
al.,  
Defendants.

Case No.: CV 12-0804 JCS

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND  
ALL RELATED DEADLINES;  
[PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON**

Date: June 1, 2012  
Time: 1:30 p.m.  
Courtroom G, 15th Floor  
Judge: The Honorable Joseph C. Spero

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 6(b) and Civ. L.R. 6.1, the parties hereby stipulate as follows:

This action arises under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), as amended by the Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980 (29 U.S.C §§1001-1461 (1982)), to recover withdrawal liability amounts owed by Eagle Peak Rock & Paving Inc. (“Eagle Peak Paving”) to Plaintiffs Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, F.G. Crosthwaite, and Russell E. Burns (“Plaintiffs”).

On February 17, 2012 Plaintiffs filed a Complaint in this matter. Docket 1. Service was effectuated on Defendants Eagle Peak Paving and Eagle Peak Rock Administration, Inc. (“Eagle Peak Administration”) on March 5, 2012. Docket 9-10. On April 18, 2012 an Answer to

1 Complaint was filed on behalf of all listed Defendants, Eagle Peak Paving, Eagle Peak  
 2 Administration, and Anthony Glen Cruse (collectively "Defendants"). Docket 7.

3 Since the filing of the Answer, the parties have engaged in settlement discussions through  
 4 their respective attorneys. As part of those settlement discussions, defendants have produced  
 5 voluminous financial records which plaintiffs' counsel is in the process of reviewing.

6 The parties believe that the case may be able to be settled and wish to avoid incurring  
 7 additional attorneys' fees and avoid inefficient use of the Court's time. Therefore, the parties  
 8 request and stipulate that the Case Management Conference and all related deadlines be continued  
 9 by approximately 60 days, to a date convenient for the Court's calendar, in order to allow the  
 10 parties to explore the possibility of an early settlement.

11 Parties hereby stipulate that the Case Management Conference currently on calendar for  
 12 June 1, 2012, 1:30 p.m., and all related deadlines, including ADR deadlines, be continued for 60  
 13 days.

14 Dated: May 10, 2012 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION

15 By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/  
 16 Julie A. Ostil  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff

17 Dated: May 10, 2012 Law Offices of Michael W. Jansen

18 By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/  
 19 Michael W. Jansen  
 Attorneys for Defendants \_\_\_\_\_

20 **ORDER**

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Based on the foregoing, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the currently set Case  
 23 Management Conference is hereby continued to July 27, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. All related  
 24 deadlines, including ADR deadlines, are extended accordingly.

26 Date: 5/10/12

27 THE HONORABLE JUDGE JOSEPH C. SPERO  
 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE

